

Message

From: Strong, Jamie [Strong.Jamie@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/16/2014 12:29:33 PM
To: Jones, Samantha [Jones.Samantha@epa.gov]
CC: Gehlhaus, Martin [Gehlhaus.Martin@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: BaP: Preparing for SAB peer review
Attachments: BaP-Charge-public comment draft-revised 4-24-2014-redline.docx

Here is the current version of the BaP charge. I know you and I talked last week about the need to overhaul these given what's gone on with SAB and the pre meeting calls. I just wanted you to have the latest version. Do you want to talk and have us give it a shot first?

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2014 10:46 AM
To: Strong, Jamie
Subject: FW: BaP: Preparing for SAB peer review

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2014 10:51 AM
To: Samantha Jones; Strong, Jamie
Cc: Gehlhaus, Martin
Subject: Re: BaP: Preparing for SAB peer review

FYI, I have updated the BaP public comment draft Charge to reflect the new general charge question regarding how we have addressed the public comments. Revisions are in track changes.

-K

From: Jones, Samantha
Sent: Saturday, April 19, 2014 2:14 PM
To: Newhouse, Kathleen
Cc: Strong, Jamie; Gehlhaus, Martin
Subject: BaP: Preparing for SAB peer review

Hi Kathleen et al.,

I wanted to let you know that we've started adding a public comment question to the charges for the SAB CAAC. The first assessment charges with this question will be TMBs and ammonia. We wanted to use the new CAAC as a means of getting feedback on how we've addressed the public comments. This is even more useful now that the enhancements to the IRIS process allow for revision after the public comment period. As the public comment draft is being revised in preparation for sending to the SAB for peer review, please add a charge question similar to the one below to the BaP charge. I am attaching the revised ammonia charge to show you where we put the question (general question #4). Let me know if you have any questions.

New question for charges to the peer reviewers:

EPA solicited public comments on the draft IRIS assessment of ammonia and has revised the assessment to respond to the scientific issues raised in the comments. A summary of the public comments and EPA's responses are provided in Appendix G of the Supplemental Information to the Toxicological Review of Ammonia. Has EPA adequately addressed the scientific issues?

Thanks,
Samantha